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SUMMARY

Bacterial biofilms in the colon alter the host tissue microenvironment. A role for biofilms in colon cancer metabolism has been suggested but to date has not been evaluated. Using metabolomics, we investigated the metabolic influence that microbial biofilms have on colon tissues and the related occurrence of cancer. Patient-matched colon cancers and histologically normal tissues, with or without biofilms, were examined. We show the upregulation of polyamine metabolites in tissues from cancer hosts with significant enhancement of N1,N12-diacetylspermine in both biofilm-positive cancer and normal tissues. Antibiotic treatment, which cleared biofilms, decreased N1,N12-diacetylspermine levels to those seen in biofilm-negative tissues, indicating that host cancer and bacterial biofilm structures contribute to the polyamine metabolite pool. These results show that colonic mucosal biofilms alter the cancer metabolome to produce a regulator of cellular proliferation and colon cancer growth potentially affecting cancer development and progression.

INTRODUCTION

Colon cancer has numerous risk factors (Botteri et al., 2008; Gay et al., 2012; Moskal et al., 2007), but recent studies highlight a potential role for the colon microbiota in colon cancer development (Sears and Garrett, 2014). The colon microbiota can form structures, termed biofilms, that line the mucosal surface and indicate disruption of the normal colon mucous barrier (Probert and Gibson, 2002; Shah and Swiatlo, 2008); in addition, biofilms have been associated with non-malignant pathologies such as inflammatory bowel disease (Swidsinski et al., 2005). We have recently demonstrated that biofilms are associated with human colon cancer and linked to cancer location, with virtually all right-sided colon adenomas and cancers possessing biofilms, while left-sided cancers are infrequently biofilm positive (Dejea et al., 2014). Importantly, histologically normal colon mucosa, collected from the surgical resection margin, was also biofilm positive or negative, demonstrating 100% concordance with their paired cancer. While differences in clinical prognosis and genetic characteristics between right- and left-sided colon cancers have been documented (Benedix et al., 2010; Meguid et al., 2008), the role of specific microbes in different regions of the colon has not yet been investigated. We thus hypothesized that biofilm structure might affect cancer biology by modulating the metabolome, yielding metabolites that enhance cancer growth. We approached our hypothesis by investigating the colon tissue metabolome using four independent metabolomic platforms, permitting the examination of: (1) metabolic changes in cancer tissues with and without biofilms, (2) the spatial organization of metabolite distributions, and (3) the unbiased assimilation of stable isotope-labeled metabolites into metabolic pathways. Global (untargeted) and targeted analyses were performed with liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS) and liquid chromatography triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-QqQ-MS), respectively, whereas nanostructure imaging mass spectrometry (NIMS) was utilized to identify the spatial distribution of metabolites. Ultimately, we determined the metabolic changes that biofilms have on colon tissue, the origin of the metabolites, and their potential biological roles in the host and microbiota.
Many of the other metabolites seen in the JHU samples (phospholipids, carnitines, fatty acids, and hypoxanthine) could not be observed in this sample set (Table S1). These metabolites have previously been identified with many other diseases as well as colon cancer and have low specificity to just one disease (González-Domínguez et al., 2014; Sampey et al., 2012). However, the observation of increased polyamine metabolites in colon cancer tissues from both patient populations shows the potential specificity of these metabolites, which are further validated here. The presence of the acetylated polyamines, phospholipids, fatty acids suggests the involvement of acetyl-CoA and upregulation of fatty acid oxidation. However, untargeted analysis by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) in positive and negative mode showed no presence of acetyl-CoA or malonyl-CoA or further metabolic dysregulation in the colon tissues.

**Stratification by Biofilm Status Reveals an Upregulation of N1, N12-Diacetylspermine**

We next stratified our untargeted metabolomic analysis to determine if colon tissues with biofilms differed in their metabolic features from those colon tissues lacking biofilms. Only 28 dysregulated metabolites were seen (Figure 1C). In the biofilm-positive cancer tissues, the upregulation of N1, N12-diacetylspermine 3.8-fold (p = 2.5e-9) (Figure 1D), N1-acetylspermidine (p = 4.1e-2, 1.7-fold), and N1-acetylspermine (p = 2.0e-2, 2.0-fold) was observed. Of note, our sample set contained two biofilm-positive cancer samples located on the left side of the colon, which had the highest concentration of N1, N12-diacetylspermine out of all the cancer samples examined, suggesting that this metabolite is not simply upregulated based upon location of the cancer in the colon but rather specifically related to the presence of biofilm. The other metabolites that were significantly changed included undecanoic acid, dodecanoic acid, caprylylglycine, and isobutrylcarnitine.

Biofilm-positive cancer tissues were then compared to their paired normal tissues and revealed an upregulation of N1, N12-diacetylspermine 62.2-fold (p = 1.6e-7), N1-acetylspermidine (p = 1.6e-7, 6.5-fold), N1-acetylspermine (p = 1.6e-7, 5.8-fold), and spermidine (p = 2.7e-2, 2.3-fold) in the cancer tissues (Figure S1A). Biofilm-negative paired colon cancer and normal tissues were also compared and showed that N1, N12-diacetylspermine, N1-acetylspermidine, N1-acetylspermine, and spermidine were upregulated 7.2-fold (p = 2.0e-9), 3.0-fold (p = 2.9e-8), 3.1-fold (p = 1.2e-7), and 1.4-fold (p = 1.3e-7), respectively, in cancer tissues (Figure S1B). These results demonstrate upregulation of acetylated polyamine production within colon cancer tissues that is further enhanced in biofilm-positive colon cancer tissues, most markedly for N1, N12-diacetylspermine.

**Targeted Validation Confirms Polyamine Metabolite Changes**

The polyamine metabolites were analyzed by QqQ-MS selected reaction monitoring (Figure 2A), which confirmed that these metabolites were significantly upregulated in cancer tissues, regardless of biofilm status, compared to their paired normal colon tissues (Figures S2A and S2B). Comparison of biofilm-negative to biofilm-positive cancer tissues revealed significant

---

**RESULTS**

**Untargeted Metabolomics Reveals Increased Polyamine Metabolites in Colon Cancers**

Two patient groups of samples were obtained from Johns Hopkins University (JHU) School of Medicine and Karolinska University Hospital. Using an untargeted metabolomics analysis, 304 differentially regulated features were observed (JHU samples) (Figure 1A) when comparing the colon cancer tissues to their paired normal tissues (n = 30 patients). The feature with one of the largest fold changes of 9.4-fold (p = 3.7e-9, m/z = 287.240) was identified as N1, N12-diacetylspermine (Figure 1B). Other polyamine metabolites were also upregulated in the colon cancer tissue samples 3.7-fold (N1-acetylspermidine; m/z = 188.172, p = 1.9e-9) and 3.6-fold (N1-acetylspermine; m/z = 245.235, p = 3.5e-9). Further analysis revealed that a number of features could be classified as phospholipids and fatty acids (Table S1). Analysis of the Karolinska University Hospital samples (n = 30 patients) validated the upregulation of N1, N12-diacetylspermine 8.1-fold (p = 2.9e-8), N1-acetylspermidine (p = 5.6e-4, 3.2-fold), and N1-acetylspermine (p = 4.6e-3, 2.2-fold).
upregulation of \( N^{1}, N^{12} \)-diaminopimelate only in the biofilm-positive tissues (\( p = 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \)) (Figure 2B). Importantly, the normal tissues, which were concordantly biofilm-negative or -positive with their paired cancer tissues, revealed an upregulation of \( N^{1}, N^{12} \)-diaminopimelate and \( N^{1} \)-acetylspermine (\( p = 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \) and \( p = 4.1 \times 10^{-2} \), respectively) in the biofilm-positive normal colon tissues compared to biofilm-negative normal colon tissues obtained from colon cancer hosts (Figure S2C).

**Figure 2. Biofilm Effects on Metabolites in Colon Tissues**
(A) Scheme of polyamine metabolism. PAO, polyamine oxidase; SSAT, spermidine/spermine N\(^1\)-acetyltransferase; ODC, ornithine decarboxylase; HAT, histone acetyltransferase; SRM, spermine synthase; SMO, spermine oxidase; SMS, spermine synthase.
(B) Targeted metabolomics, concentrations of metabolites in cancers with (n = 9) or without (n = 10) biofilms (two-tailed Mann–Whitney test). Empty symbols indicate left-sided biofilm-positive samples. Error bars are SEM, \( *p < 0.05 \), n.s. = not significant.
(C) Nanostructure imaging mass spectrometry on biofilm-positive normal and cancer tissue. Scale = 100 \( \mu m \).
(D) Hematoxylin and eosin staining, *mucosal edge. Scale = 500 \( \mu m \) left, 200 \( \mu m \) right column. See also Figure S2.

**In Situ Imaging Reveals Metabolite Spatial Specificity**
To further validate the LC/MS experiments and explore spatial specificity within and between the normal and cancer tissues, we used NIMS (Northen et al., 2007). Figures 2C and 2D correlate normal and cancer tissues with polyamine metabolite levels, providing evidence that polyamine metabolite concentrations (relative intensity) are higher in the cancer than in normal tissue in situ. The enhanced detection of the acetylated metabolites at the mucosal edge of the cancer tissue further suggested that the microbial biofilm could be contributing to the signal detected by NIMS.

**\( N^{1}, N^{12} \)-Diacetylspermine Is Potentially Acetylated by Bacterial Enzymes and Is an End-Product of Polyamine Metabolism**
Increased acetylated polyamine metabolite synthesis may originate from the host tissue and indicate cellular proliferation; Ki67 staining showed a significant increase in crypt epithelial cell proliferation in normal tissues that were biofilm-positive compared to biofilm-negative (Dejea et al., 2014). Increases in acetylated polyamine metabolites could also arise from increased spermidine/spermine \( N^{1} \)-acetyltransferase (SSAT) expression; an increase in SSAT could occur as a stress response to bacterial infection and biofilm formation (Gerner et al., 1993). In the human host, SSAT is required for acetylation of spermine to generate \( N^{1}, N^{12} \)-diaminopimelate; thus, mucosal SSAT expression was examined by immunohistochemical (IHC) staining and quantification (Figure 3). No significant difference was detected in epithelial cell SSAT between tissues with and without a biofilm (Figure 3B), indicating that the upregulation in biofilm-covered tissues is not due to increased mucosal SSAT acetylation of polyamines by the host. Indeed, as polyamine metabolism is universal among bacterial species and essential for bacterial
survival, alternative bacterial SSAT acetyltransferases may contribute (e.g., *Bacillus subtilis* [Woolridge et al., 1999]), and we hypothesize that polyamine metabolites may be cooperatively produced by biofilm bacterial communities.

To further ascertain the biological effects of \(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine, colon cancer cell lines (HT-29) were dosed with \([U-^{15}N]\)- and \([U-^{14}N]\)-\(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine to assess its metabolic fate by global isotope metabolomics. We observed no reversible conversion of \(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine to its precursors. Instead we observed the \([U-^{14}N]\)-\(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine and \([U-^{15}N]\)-\(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine ions still present and unconverted in the cells 24 hr after dosing. This shows that the labeled metabolites entered the cells, but were not further metabolized, and are most likely a metabolic end product.

**Correlations between \(N_1, N_12\)-Diacetylspermine and Taxonomic Composition Were Observed**
The correlation between the taxonomic composition of biofilm-positive samples and acetylated polyamines was also investigated. A positive association with some Clostridia groups was seen, including *Sporobacter* (\(r = 0.754, p = 0.0018\)), *Peptostreptococcaceae* (\(r = 0.691, p = 0.0062\)), and *Veillonellaceae* (\(r = 0.668, p = 0.0091\)), indicating a possible contribution to \(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine production in biofilm-positive tumors. A negative association was seen with the order Bacteroidales from the Bacteroidetes class (\(r = 0.733, p = 0.0028\)).

**Antibiotic Treatment Removed Biofilms and Decreased \(N_1, N_12\)-Diacetylspermine Levels**
To further delineate the microbial versus host source of the upregulated polyamine metabolites identified, we collected tissues from nine colon cancer patients treated with oral antibiotics 24 hr prior to surgery. FISH analyses of both right and left colon tissues from these antibiotic-treated patients revealed no biofilms (Figure 4A), and microbial culture revealed little to no anaerobic or aerobic microbial growth on nutrient-rich agar, suggesting that oral antibiotic treatment is effective at lowering the cultivatable microbial load (Figure 4B). In the context of the finding that essentially all right-sided cancers display biofilms while 88% of left-sided cancers are biofilm-negative, the right and left cancer samples were compared to each other, revealing no significantly increased metabolites (Figure S3A). However, comparison of all antibiotic-treated cancers to their paired normal tissue by targeted metabolomics revealed an increase in \(N_1\)-acetylspermine (\(p = 1.6e^{-2}\)), \(N_1\)-acetylspermidine (\(p = 1.6e^{-2}\)), and \(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine (\(p = 1.6e^{-2}\)) in the cancer tissue (Figure S3B). A further comparison of antibiotic-treated right-sided cancers to all biofilm-positive cancers (from patients not receiving oral antibiotics prior to surgery) revealed significantly less \(N_1, N_12\)-diacetylspermine...
(p = 3.3e−2) in the antibiotic-treated right-sided cancers (Figure 4C). Moreover, antibiotic-treated right-sided cancers and biofilm-negative cancer tissues from patients not treated with oral antibiotics prior to surgery (Figure 4D) were metabolically similar. Collectively, the data are consistent with the interpretation that both host cells and microbial biofilms contribute to upregulation of polyamine metabolites in colon cancer.

Assessment of Colonoscopy Control Tissues Revealed No Acetylated Polyamines

To test the specificity of the polyamine metabolite changes to the cancer host and the acetylated metabolites to biofilm-positive tissues, we examined biofilm-negative biopsies from ascending (right) and descending (left) colon undergoing routine screening colonoscopy. No acetylated polyamines were observed by targeted metabolomics in the left- and right-sided normal colonoscopy biopsies from healthy individuals (Figure S3C). A comparison of the normal colon biopsies to normal tissues revealed upregulation of spermine and N′-acetyl spermidine in cancer host tissues (p = 5.0e−2, p = 7.0e−3) (Figure S3D). Spermine was also increased in the biofilm-negative normal tissues from cancer hosts compared to the normal colonoscopy biopsies from healthy individuals (p = 2.0e−2) (Figure S3E). These results are consistent with cellular metabolic changes occurring in histologically normal colon tissues of the cancer-bearing host due to far-reaching field effects covering a large proportion of the colon (Dakubo et al., 2007).

DISCUSSION

The observation in this study is a direct correlation between biofilm formation on colon cancers and the upregulation of N₁,N₁²-diacetyl spermine, a polyamine metabolite that may affect the growth of both cancer and its associated biofilm. Importantly, although biofilms are nearly universal in association with right colon cancers, the measurement of upregulated acetylated polyamine metabolites on left biofilm-positive cancer and paired normal tissues highlights that biofilm status, not merely the colon region, drives the changes identified in polyamine metabolism.

It is well known that the microbiota and human tissues exhibit a symbiotic relationship, and polyamines and their metabolites are essential for both (Ridaura et al., 2013). Increased polyamine concentrations are associated with eukaryotic proliferation (Gerner and Meyskens, 2004), and microbiota require polyamines for growth, cell-wall synthesis, and biofilm formation (Patel et al., 2006; Shah and Swiatlo, 2008). Biofilm formation, even in the normal colon tissue, was associated with increased colonic epithelial cell proliferation (Dejea et al., 2014) and enhanced polyamine metabolism. Thus, our data indicate biofilms increase polyamine metabolite concentrations in both normal and cancer tissues. The increase of carnitines and fatty

A Mouse Model of Bacterial-Mediated Colon Tumorigenesis Showed No Correlation between Polyamine Metabolites and Tissues

In a relevant mouse model of bacteria-mediated colon tumorigenesis and polyamine metabolism, colitis and distal colon tumors that are biofilm-negative were induced after enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis (ETBF) colonization (Goodwin et al., 2011). We examined normal and tumor tissues from these mice through targeted metabolomics and did not see a correlation between polyamine levels and tissues, supporting the observation that polyamine levels are not perturbed in biofilm-negative tissues.
acid metabolites in the colon cancer tissues suggests increased inflammation. Indeed, an increase in both interleukin 6 (IL-6) and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3) activation were seen in biofilm-positive compared to biofilm-negative normal tissues from the cancer host (Dejea et al., 2014).

Although the molecular details remain to be identified, we propose a model in which host and bacterial polyamine metabolites act synergistically to promote biofilm formation and cellular proliferation, creating conditions conducive to oncogenic transformation in colonic epithelial cells. Consistent with this hypothesis, studies have shown that ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) and SSAT mRNA expression are affected by microbiota in human cancer cell lines (Linsalata et al., 2010). Helicobacter pylori, for example, can upregulate c-MYC, activating ODC (Bussière et al., 2005). However, here, SSAT was not increased in host normal or cancer mucosa when biofilms were present, indicating that N1, N12-diacetylspermine is produced in biofilm-positive tissues through bacterial acetylation. Therefore, changes in host cell metabolism may provide polyamines to stimulate biofilm formation in colon mucosa. Indeed, bacterial transporters for uptake of extracellular polyamines exist (Patel et al., 2006). Collectively, the upregulation of polyamine metabolism can enhance cancer growth, invasion, and metastasis (Soda, 2011). Although ideal for further mechanistic studies, a murine model of biofilm-positive proximal colon tumors is not available and unlikely to emerge given the reported differences in mucus: bacterial interactions between murine and human hosts (Johansson and Hansson, 2011; Swidsinski et al., 2009).

Treatment of colon cancer models and clinical trials with polyamine-metabolism inhibitors have resulted in ambiguous findings (Babbar and Gerner, 2011); however, targeting both polyamine production and biofilm interactions could prove to be a more successful strategy.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Sample Collection**

Colon cancers and paired histologically normal tissues were collected from patients undergoing surgery at JHU Hospital and Karolinska University Hospital (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures). These studies were approved by the Johns Hopkins Institutional Review Board and by the regional ethical board at the Karolinska Institutet.

**Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization Analysis**

FISH analysis was carried out as previously described (Dejea et al., 2014) and is provided in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Microbial Culture**

Anaerobic tissue specimens collected in specialized transport media (Anaerobe Systems) were washed twice with 0.016% DTT in saline prior to Anaerobic tissue specimens collected in specialized transport media. Microbial Culture is provided in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Untargeted Metabolomics**

Samples were analyzed by RPLC (reverse-phase liquid chromatography) and HILIC ESI-QTOFMS (hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry) as previously described (Ivanisevic et al., 2013). The full dataset is available as a public share on XCMS Online. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Targeted Metabolomics of Polyamines**

A Scherzo SM-C18 column (Imtakt) effectively retained and separated the polyamines and polyamine metabolites. Samples were analyzed using an Agilent Technologies series 1200 HPLC connected to an Agilent Technologies 6410 QqQ-MS as described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**NIMS Analysis**

NIMS substrates were prepared as previously described (Woo et al., 2008) and are detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Global Isotope Metabolomics**

HT-29 cell lines were dosed with [U-13N]- and [U-15N]-N1, N12-diacetylspermine for 24 hr and extracted in organic solvent for HPLC-ESI-QTOFMS (high-performance liquid chromatography electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry) as for the untargeted metabolomics method described above. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, three figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.04.011.
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